2	JOHN A. RUSSO, City Attorney, State Bar No. 129729 RANDOLPH W. HALL, Assistant City Attorney, State Bar No. 080142 ROCIO V. FIERRO, Senior Deputy City Attorney, State Bar No. 139565 One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6 th Floor Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (510) 238-6511 20752/343182			
5	Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.			
6 7 8	ROCKNE A. LUCIA, JR., ESQ., State Bar No. 109349 RAINS, LUCIA & WILKINSON, LLP 2300 Contra Costa Blvd., Suite 230			
9	Attorneys for Interveners			
11 12 13	LAW OFFICES OF JAMES B. CHANIN 3050 Shattuck Avenue Berkeley, CA 94705			
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
15 16	LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS			
17	7677 Oakport Road, Suite 1120			
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
19 20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
21	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
22	DELPHINE ALLEN, et al.,	Master Case No.: C00-4599 TEH (JL)		
23	Plaintiffs, STIPULATION AND ORDER I DEFINING "FILED" AND "ADMINISTRATIVE CLOSUR			
24	CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.,	PURSUANT TO SECTION III.E.5 OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT		
25	Defendants.	[Honorable Thelton E. Henderson]		
26	!			

STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: CHANGES TO LANGUAGE IN SECTION III.E.5 OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

1 2 STIPULATION 3 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties that Section III. E. 5 4 (Task 5) is hereby amended as follows to reflect the removal of "Filed" and the addition of 5 "Administrative Closure" to the dispositions category. 6 Section III, E.5. (Task 5), "Complaint Procedures for IAD" shall read as 7 follows: 8 9 shall resolve each allegation in a complaint investigation using the "preponderance of the evidence" standard. Each allegation shall be resolved by making one 10 of the following dispositions: Unfounded, Sustained. 11 Exonerated, Not Sustained, or Administrative Closure. The Department shall use the following criteria for determining 12 the appropriate disposition: 13 Unfounded: The investigation disclosed sufficient evidence to determine that a. 14 the alleged conduct did not occur. This finding shall also apply when 15 individuals named in the complaint were not involved in the alleged act. 16 b. Sustained: The investigation disclosed sufficient evidence to determine that 17 the alleged conduct did occur and was in violation of law and/or Oakland 18 Police Department rules, regulations, or policies. 19 C. Exonerated: The investigation disclosed sufficient evidence to determine 20 that the alleged conduct did occur, but was in accord with law and with all 21 Oakland Police Department rules, regulations, or policies. 22 d. Not Sustained: The investigation did not disclose sufficient evidence to 23 determine whether or not the alleged conduct occurred. 24 e. Administrative Closure: The investigation indicates a service complaint, not 25 involving an MOR violation, was resolved without conducting an internal 26 investigation; OR

	U Company of the Comp			
1	f. To conclude an internal investigation when it has been determined that the			
2	investigation cannot proceed to a normal investigative conclusion due to			
3	circumstances to include but not limited to the following:			
4	1) Complainant wishes to withdraw the complaint and the IAD			
5	Commander has determined there is no further reason to			
6	continue the investigation and to ensure Departmental policy			
7	and procedure has been followed;			
8	2) Complaint lacks specificity and complainant refuses or is			
9	unable to provide further clarification necessary to investigate			
10	the complaint;			
11	3) Subject not employed by OPD at the time of the incident; or			
12	4) If the subject is no longer employed by OPD, the IAD			
13	Commander shall determine whether an internal investigation			
14	shall be conducted.			
15	g. Administrative Closures shall be approved by the IAD Commander and			
16	entered in the IAD Complaint Database.			
17				
18	The disposition category of "Filed" is hereby redefined and shall be included under			
19	Administrative Dispositions as follows:			
20	An investigation that cannot be presently completed. A filed investigation is not a final disposition, but an indication that a case is pending further developments that			
21				
22	will allow completion of the investigation. The IAD Commander shall review all filed cases quarterly to determine whether the conditions that prevented investigation and final disposition have changed and may			
23				
24				
25	direct the closure or continuation of the investigation.			
26	III			

1			
1	Dated: December <u>\$\frac{1}{2}\$</u> , 2005		OAKLAND CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
2			_
3		_	\mathcal{D}_{i}
4		Ву:	ROCIO V. FIERRO, Attorneys for Defendant
5	~		CITY OF OAKLAND
6	Dated: December $\frac{\chi}{1}$, 2005		/ RAINS, LUCIA & WILKINSON, LLP
7			//1
8			
9		Ву:	ROCKNE LUCIA, Attorney for Interveners OAKLAND POLICE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION
10			OAKLAND POLICE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION
11	Dated: December <u></u> , 2005		LAW OFFICES OF JAMES B. CHANIN
12			.1 —
13			
14		Ву:	JAMES B. CHANIN, Attorney for Plaintiffs
15	Balada Bara da Pagar	/	
16	Dated: December <u></u> \$\lambda\$, 2005		LAW OFFICES OF JOHN BURRIS
17			(), 10
18		Ву:	Jal Burn
19			JOHN L. BURRIS, Attorney for Plaintiffs
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
pi pi			

ORDER IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Sections III.E. 5 of the Settlement Agreement Jan. 22, 2003 is approved by this Court on or about December XX, 2005, are hereby revised and modified, consistent with the terms of the Parties' Stipulation. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: 12/14/05 JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT

I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated by a "conformed" signature (/s/) within this E-filed document. Dated: December 12, 2005.

By: /s/ Gregory M. Fox
Gregory M. Fox